

Te Whare Wānanga o te Ūpoko o te Ika a Māui



and the second of the



Te Whare Wānanga o te Ūpoko o te Ika a Māui



School of Mathematical and Computing Sciences Te Kura Pangarau, Rorohiko

Jerk, snap, cosmology

Matt Visser











How much of modern cosmology is really cosmography? How much of it is kinematics, rather than dynamics? How much of it is simply FRW symmetries? How much of it is independent of the Einstein equations? Symmetry principles give us an awful lot... Hubble law to high order in redshift...

Precision cosmology?

Cosmography:





Cosmography uses symmetries to derive the FRW form of the metric:

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2} dt^{2} + a(t)^{2} \left[\frac{dr^{2}}{1 - kr^{2}} + r^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} \right) \right]$$

Cosmography then very pointedly does not invoke the Einstein equations (Friedmann equation).

You can still do an awful lot.

Simply view the history of the scale factor a(t), as a free quantity to be observationally determined.

Cosmography:





After you have observationally determined the complete history of the scale factor, a(t), then you begin to think about dynamics, not before.

Defer all questions of dark matter, dark energy, quintessence, phantom matter, till after you have a good handle on a(t).

Practical issue: You will have to be satisfied with a finite number of derivatives of the scale factor.

Traditionally, the Hubble parameter and the deceleration parameter.

Hubble, deceleration, jerk, snap...





$$H(t) = +\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}; \qquad q(t) = -\frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2a}{dt^2} \left[\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}\right]^{-2}; \\ j(t) = +\frac{1}{a} \frac{d^3a}{dt^3} \left[\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}\right]^{-3}; \qquad s(t) = +\frac{1}{a} \frac{d^4a}{dt^4} \left[\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}\right]^{-4}.$$

<u>crackle, pop...</u>

Taylor expand the scale factor using present epoch values:

$$a(t) = a_0 \left\{ 1 + H_0 \left(t - t_0 \right) - \frac{1}{2} q_0 H_0^2 \left(t - t_0 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} j_0 H_0^3 \left(t - t_0 \right)^3 + \frac{1}{4!} s_0 H_0^4 \left(t - t_0 \right)^4 + O([t - t_0]^5) \right\}.$$

<u>Hubble law:</u>





Physical distance travelled:

$$D = c \int \mathrm{d}t = c \ (t_0 - t_*),$$

Lookback time:

$$\Delta t = t_0 - t_*$$

Exact (useless) Hubble law:

$$1 + z = \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_*)} = \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_0 - \Delta t)} = \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_0 - D/c)},$$

The useful Hubble law is obtained by Taylor series...

Hubble law:





L

$$\frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_0 - D/c)} = 1 + \frac{H_0 D}{c} + \frac{2 + q_0}{2} \frac{H_0^2 D^2}{c^2} + \frac{6(1 + q_0) + j_0}{6} \frac{H_0^3 D^3}{c^3} + \frac{24 - s_0 + 8j_0 + 36q_0 + 6q_0^2}{24} \frac{H_0^4 D^4}{c^4} + O\left[\left(\frac{H_0 D}{c}\right)^5\right]$$

Redshift:

$$z(D) = \frac{H_0 D}{c} + \frac{2 + q_0}{2} \frac{H_0^2 D^2}{c^2} + \frac{6(1 + q_0) + j_0}{6} \frac{H_0^3 D^3}{c^3} + \frac{24 - s_0 + 8j_0 + 36q_0 + 6q_0^2}{24} \frac{H_0^4 D^4}{c^4} + O\left[\left(\frac{H_0 D}{c}\right)^5\right]$$

Hubble law:





Reversion of series:

$$D(z) = \frac{c z}{H_0} \left\{ 1 - \left[1 + \frac{q_0}{2} \right] z + \left[1 + q_0 + \frac{q_0^2}{2} - \frac{j_0}{6} \right] z^2 - \left[1 + \frac{3}{2}q_0(1+q_0) + \frac{5}{8}q_0^3 - \frac{1}{2}j_0 - \frac{5}{12}q_0j_0 - \frac{s_0}{24} \right] z^3 + O(z^4) \right\}$$

Usual Hubble law in terms of luminosity distance:

$$(\text{energy flux}) = \frac{L}{4\pi \ d_L^2}.$$

Pure geometry:

$$d_L = a(t_0)^2 \frac{r_0}{a(t_*)} = \frac{a_0}{a(t_0 - D/c)} (a_0 r_0).$$





Hubble law (technical mess):

$$r_{0}(D) = \begin{cases} \sin\left(\int_{t_{0}-D/c}^{t_{0}} \frac{c \, \mathrm{d}t}{a(t)}\right) & k = +1; \\ \int_{t_{0}-D/c}^{t_{0}} \frac{c \, \mathrm{d}t}{a(t)} & k = 0; \\ \sinh\left(\int_{t_{0}-D/c}^{t_{0}} \frac{c \, \mathrm{d}t}{a(t)}\right) & k = -1; \end{cases}$$

$$r_{0}(D) = \left[\int_{t_{0}-D/c}^{t_{0}} \frac{c \, \mathrm{d}t}{a(t)}\right] - \frac{k}{3!} \left[\int_{t_{0}-D/c}^{t_{0}} \frac{c \, \mathrm{d}t}{a(t)}\right]^{3} + O\left(\left[\int_{t_{0}-D/c}^{t_{0}} \frac{c \, \mathrm{d}t}{a(t)}\right]^{5}\right), \\ \int_{t_{0}-D/c}^{t_{0}} \frac{c \, \mathrm{d}t}{a(t)} = \frac{D}{a_{0}} \left\{1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{H_{0}D}{c} + \left[\frac{2+q_{0}}{6}\right] \left(\frac{H_{0}D}{c}\right)^{2} + \left[\frac{6(1+q_{0})+j_{0}}{24}\right] \left(\frac{H_{0}D}{c}\right)^{3} \\ + O\left[\left(\frac{H_{0}D}{c}\right)^{4}\right]\right\}.$$

Insert, collect terms...

<u>Hubble law (technical mess):</u>





$$d_L(D) = D\left\{1 + \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{H_0D}{c}\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left[11 + 4q_0 - \frac{kc^2}{H_0^2 a_0^2}\right]\left(\frac{H_0D}{c}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{24}\left[50 + 40q_0 + 5j_0 - 10\frac{kc^2}{H_0^2 a_0^2}\right]\left(\frac{H_0D}{c}\right)^3 + O\left[\left(\frac{H_0D}{c}\right)^4\right]\right\}.$$

$$d_{L}(z) = \frac{c z}{H_{0}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - q_{0} \right] z - \frac{1}{6} \left[1 - q_{0} - 3q_{0}^{2} + j_{0} + \frac{kc^{2}}{H_{0}^{2}a_{0}^{2}} \right] z^{2} + \frac{1}{24} \left[2 - 2q_{0} - 15q_{0}^{2} - 15q_{0}^{3} + 5j_{0} + 10q_{0}j_{0} + s_{0} + \frac{2kc^{2}(1 + 3q_{0})}{H_{0}^{2}a_{0}^{2}} \right] z^{3} + O(z^{4}) \right\}.$$

Hubble law (luminosity distance):



$$d_{L}(z) = \frac{c z}{H_{0}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - q_{0} \right] z - \frac{1}{6} \left[1 - q_{0} - 3q_{0}^{2} + j_{0} + \frac{kc^{2}}{H_{0}^{2}a_{0}^{2}} \right] z^{2} + \frac{1}{24} \left[2 - 2q_{0} - 15q_{0}^{2} - 15q_{0}^{3} + 5j_{0} + 10q_{0}j_{0} + s_{0} + \frac{2kc^{2}(1 + 3q_{0})}{H_{0}^{2}a_{0}^{2}} \right] z^{3} + O(z^{4}) \right\}.$$

The first two terms are standard. The third term is that of Chiba & Nakamura. The fourth term is new. With Maple, can calculate to arbitrary order.

Third order (jerk) begins to probe geometry of space. More free parameters than coefficients to fit...

 Hubble law (assuming cosmological inflation):
 Victoria

 $H_0 a_0/c \gg 1$ Not
 k = 0.

 $d_L(z) = \frac{c z}{H_0} \Big\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} [1 - q_0] z - \frac{1}{6} [1 - q_0 - 3q_0^2 + j_0] z^2 + \frac{1}{24} [2 - 2q_0 - 15q_0^2 - 15q_0^3 + 5j_0 + 10q_0j_0 + s_0] z^3 + O(z^4) \Big\}.$

Number of free parameters now equals number of coefficients ...

If and only if you have independent means (observational or theoretical) for bounding $H_0 a_0/c$ can you even hope to constrain jerk and snap from the observational Hubble law.

Completely independent of the Einstein equations.

Conclusions:





Cosmography can teach us an awful lot.

Even without the Einstein equations, symmetry and FRW cosmology gives you the Hubble law.

The Einstein equations come in when you then try to relate the Hubble law back to the cosmological matter model.









Te Whare Wānanga o te Ūpoko o te Ika a Māui



and the second of the





$$p = p_0 + \kappa_0 \left(\rho - \rho_0\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 p}{\mathrm{d}\rho^2} \right|_0 \left(\rho - \rho_0\right)^2 + O[(\rho - \rho_0)^3],$$

Friedmann equations:

$$8\pi G_N \ \rho(t) = 3c^2 \left[\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2} \right];$$

$$8\pi G_N \ p(t) = -c^2 \left[\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2} + 2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right]$$

w-parameter:

$$w(t) = \frac{p}{\rho} = -\frac{H^2(1-2q) + kc^2/a^2}{3(H^2 + kc^2/a^2)} = -\frac{(1-2q) + kc^2/(H^2a^2)}{3[1+kc^2/(H^2a^2)]}$$

Cosmological fluid:





$$\kappa_{0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\Big|_{0} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 8\pi G_{N} \ \rho(t) = 3c^{2} \left[\frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}} + \frac{kc^{2}}{a^{2}} \right]; \\ 8\pi G_{N} \ p(t) = -c^{2} \left[\frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}} + \frac{kc^{2}}{a^{2}} + 2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right]. \end{array} \right.$$

$$\kappa_0 = -\frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1 - j_0 + kc^2 / (H_0^2 a_0^2)}{1 + q_0 + kc^2 / (H_0^2 a_0^2)} \right]$$

$$\kappa_0 = -\frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1 - j_0}{1 + q_0} \right].$$
 (Cosmological inflation)

Determining κ_0 requires a measurement of jerk.

Cosmological fluid:





$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 p}{\mathrm{d}\rho^2}\Big|_0 = -\frac{(1+kc^2/[H_0^2 a_0^2])}{6\rho_0(1+q_0+kc^2/[H_0^2 a_0^2])^3} \Big\{ s_0(1+q_0) + j_0(1+j_0+4q_0+q_0^2) + q_0(1+2q_0) + (s_0+j_0+q_0+q_0) + \frac{kc^2}{H_0^2 a_0^2} \Big\}.$$

Assuming cosmological inflation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 p}{\mathrm{d}\rho^2}\Big|_0 = -\frac{s_0(1+q_0) + j_0(1+j_0+4q_0+q_0^2) + q_0(1+2q_0)}{6\rho_0(1+q_0)^3}$$

Note, need both jerk and snap.

This is what makes cosmology so difficult; low-order coefficients in the EOS need high-order coefficients in Hubble.







Maaaaaaaa 👔 🖉 mma

Egmont National Park Boundary Mt. Taranaki

A CALL OF